Statistical physics of nonlinear wave interaction

F. Antenucci^{1,2}, M. Ibáñez Berganza¹, L. Leuzzi^{1,2}

¹IPCF-CNR, UOS Rome Kerberos, Piazzale Aldo Moro 5, I-00185, Roma, Italy

² Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Roma "Sapienza," Piazzale Aldo Moro 5, I-00185, Roma, Italy

The thermodynamic properties of vector (O(2) and Complex Spherical) models with four-body interactions are analyzed. When defined in dense topologies, these are effective models for the nonlinear interaction of scalar fields in the presence of a stochastic noise, as has been well established for the case of the mode locking laser formation in a closed cavity. With the help of a novel efficient Monte Carlo algorithm we show how beyond the fully connected case novel and rich phenomenology emerges. Below a certain dilution threshold, the spherical model condensates in a non-equipartite way, while in the XY model the transition becomes continuous and the O(2) symmetry remains unbroken, we attribute this fact to the invariance under local gauge transformations. The introduction of topological inhomogeneities in the network of quadruplets induces novel features: again symmetry conservation; the vanishing of two-point correlators; and a dynamical correlation function presenting two timescales, the large one being related to the transition between different degenerated configurations, connected by nonlocal gauge transformations. We discuss possible experimental implications of these results in the context of nonlinear optics.

I. INTRODUCTION

A. Thermodynamic approach to nonlinear optics

In the last decade there have been several fascinating attempts to understand nonlinear wave phenomena as collective, emergent behavior.^{1–10} Within such a scheme, the focus is not on the kinetics of the nonlinear wave propagation,¹¹ but on the description in terms of static quantities in a suitably defined ensemble, in such a way that different wave regimes are in correspondence with different thermodynamic phases of a Hamiltonian model.

A set of fundamental works in this context are Refs. 5-7 which describe the mechanism of Passive Mode-Locking in multi-mode lasers within a statistical mechanical framework. The electromagnetic modes in this case are the longitudinal modes of the resonant cavity, and the non-linearity is provided by a *saturable ab*sorber, a device which enhances high electromagnetic field intensity, hence favoring modes with large amplitude and locked phases. The temporal evolution of modes is described by a master equation¹² accounting for the nonlinear coupling of *tetrads* of modes (a four body interaction), and with an additional stochastic drift term due to the spontaneous emission, which opposes mode-locking as it tends to incoherently disorder moduli and phases. In the limit in which the dispersion can be neglected,⁹ the master equation leads to a Hamiltonian formulation such that the electromagnetic modes can be regarded as (complex) spin degrees of freedom, coupled by a four-body ferromagnetic interaction, while the stability of the system is assured by a global constraint on the sum of the mode intensities (a spherical constraint, in the spin language). The steady state of the laser is described by measurements in the canonical ensemble of the spin model, where the role of the temperature is played by the inverse square of *pumping rate* of the laser source. The methods of statistical physics applied to this problem reveal that, for sufficiently high ratio between the pumping rate and the noise strength, a discontinuous transition separating a para- from a ferromagnetic phase takes place.¹³ In the ferromagnetic regime the phases and intensities of modes at different frequencies become *locked*, i.e., correlated, and long-range order appears, associated with O(2) symmetry breaking. In the optical language this phase corresponds to a coherent light regime in which ultra-short electromagnetic pulses are generated (the Mode Locked (ML) regime). On the other hand, if the spontaneous emission dominates, light is in an Incoherent Wave (IW) regime with low power efficiency and flat intensity spectrum, which is described by a paramagnetic state in the spin language. This approach allows for a treatment of the non-perturbative influence of noise, and explains the discontinuous nature of the mode-locking transition, along with other properties reminiscent of discontinuous transitions, as an hysteresis effect called *optical bistability*.¹⁴ Variations of this problem have also been considered, as the Active Mode Locking,⁸ injection of pulses from an external source,¹⁵ and a general agreement with experimental results has been found.

On the other hand, there have been a series of theoretical works generalizing the study of these Hamiltonians through the addition of quenched disorder in the interaction couplings.^{16–19} These more complex models may represent different physical situations, as the random laser phenomena,²⁰ under specific assumptions.²¹ In this case, a sufficiently large amount of disorder eventually leads to a glassy phase in the spin model, anticipated by a region with nonzero complexity, which is believed to describe a frustrated laser regime with absence of long-range correlations, possibly present in random lasers.

In the relevant statistical models in this context, the electromagnetic modes are complex degrees of freedom (or O(2) spins, if their amplitude dynamics can be ignored) subject to a 4-body interaction which can be

purely ferromagnetic or disordered. These are, in substance, XY or Complex Spherical *p*-spin ferromagnets or spin glasses, with p = 4. They have been studied so far in the mean field approximation, which is basically exact in the the fully connected case. In this work we perform a systematic study of the thermodynamics of the XY and spherical models with four body interactions beyond mean field, considering the influence of dilute topologies and of network correlations. From the optical point of view, such a generalization allows to account for two ingredients of crucial importance in optical systems, that could not have been considered in previous studies, in which correlations were disregarded.

First, the role of mode frequencies, $\{\omega_n\}$, which have an essential influence on the list of interacting mode tetrads since these are subject to an energy conservation prescription on their four frequencies, called *frequency matching condition* (see the next subsection). In cavity lasers it is not physically justified to neglect the influence of mode frequencies. We will see that they may induce correlations in the system dynamics which lead to dramatic differences with respect to the mean field case, and these differences have clear physical consequences in the optical counterpart.

Second, the presence of dilution in the interaction network, from the fully connected down to the sparse network with an extensive number of tetrads. This element is necessary, e.g., to account for the onset of lasing in more complicated experimental setups as the random laser, in which the interaction sparseness depends on the spatial superposition of the electromagnetic fields of the modes. As we will see, a sufficiently large degree of dilution induces nontrivial changes in the nature of the XY transition. Furthermore, in the spherical model case, the dilution induces a transition to a regime in which the nonlinearity prevents the equipartition of energy. Since the seminal work of Fermi, Pasta and Ulam,²² the non-equipartition of energy induced by nonlinearity is one of the crucial phenomena in nonlinear physics that claims for a statistical treatment.^{1,3}

From the point of view of statistical mechanics, on the other hand, the models investigated in this article are novel, and present a surprisingly rich phenomenology when fluctuations are allowed to take place. As we explain in subsection IC, the Ising model with p = 4has already been considered beyond mean field approximation, exhibiting slow dynamics and other kinetic features characteristic of glass formers. On the other hand, the XY model with suitable plaquette interactions is an effective lattice model for the gauge O(2) field theory describing electromagnetism, but, to our knowledge, this is the first work considering this model within a statistical mechanical framework. We will show how in the arena of these models one can find, according to the dilution and to the presence or absence of topological correlations, a variety of phenomenology ranging from the symmetry conservation (reminiscent of the Kosterlitz-Thouless transition), to different orders of the transition, non-equipartite energy localization, and slow dynamics, among other features.

To better motivate the study of these models in the optical context, we review in some detail the Hamiltonian approach to the Passive mode locking transition in the next subsection. Subsection IC is to review precedent studies of four-body interactions in statistical physics. We then define the models under study and describe their properties in Sec. II. The effect of a sufficiently large amount of dilution on them is described in Sec. III. Sec. IV is dedicated to the numerical methods that we have employed, and the consequent results about the spherical and XY models are exposed in Secs. V, VI respectively. We will, then, draw some analogies between these results and similar phenomena occurring in lattice gauge theories (Sec. VII), and propose possible physical consequences in the field of nonlinear optics in Sec. VIII. Our conclusions are in Sec. IX.

B. Statistical approach to Mode Locking

The evolution of the electromagnetic mode $a_l \in \mathbb{C}$, in a standard passive mode locking laser is expressed through the well-known master equation¹²

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}a_{l}(t) = (G_{l} + iD_{l})a_{l}(t) + (\Gamma - i\Delta)\sum_{k_{1},k_{2},k_{3}}'a_{k_{1}}(t)a_{k_{2}}^{*}(t)a_{k_{3}}(t) + F_{l}(t);$$
(1)

here the parameter G_l represents the difference between the gain and loss of the mode l in a complete round-trip through the cavity, D_l is the group velocity dispersion of the wave packet, Γ is the nonlinear self-amplitude modulation coefficient associated to a saturable absorber and, hence, to the passive mode-locking, and Δ is the self-phase modulation coefficient (responsible of the Kerr lens effect). The noise $F_l(t)$ is generally assumed Gaussian, white and uncorrelated:

$$\langle F_{k_1}^*(t_1) F_{k_2}(t_2) \rangle = 2T_0 \,\delta_{k_1 k_2} \,\delta(t_1 - t_2) \,, \langle F_{k_1}(t_1) F_{k_2}(t_2) \rangle = 0 \,,$$
 (2)

where T_0 is the spectral power of the noise.

A fundamental element, that deserves a particular attention in this paper, is that the sum in the nonlinear term in Eq. (1) is restricted to the tetrads of modes such that the following *Frequency Matching Condition* (FMC)

$$|\omega_l - \omega_{k_2} + \omega_{k_3} - \omega_{k_4}| \lesssim \gamma \tag{3}$$

is satisfied, where γ is the single mode line-width.

In the following we are interested in the purely dissipative case, in which the group velocity dispersion and the Kerr effect can be neglected. This includes the important case of soliton lasers.⁹ The purely dissipative situation plays an exceptional role in our approach: in this case, the evolution depicted by Eq. (1) is Hamiltonian, while the system remains stable because the gain decreases as the optical intensity increases.²³ To study the equilibrium properties of the model, this last element can be included considering an equivalent variant of the model where the gain assumes the value that exactly keeps the total *optical power*, $\mathcal{E} = \sum_j |a_j|^2$ constant of motion, as Gordon and Fischer have proposed in Ref 5. In this way the system evolves over the hypersphere:

$$\sum_{j} |a_j|^2 \equiv \epsilon N. \tag{4}$$

In this situation, the effective temperature in the statistical model is inversely proportional to the squared optical power: $T \equiv T_0/\epsilon^2$, where T_0 is the true heat-bath temperature. Equivalently, the parameter that drives the transition in the photonic system can be expressed through the so-called *pumping rate* $\mathcal{P}^2 = T^{-1}$.

C. Previous studies of 4-body models: lattice gauge theories

Ising models with four body (lattice plaquette) interactions have been studied as cut-off regularized versions of scalar gauge theories.²⁴ If the interacting quadruplets are suitably defined in terms of plaquettes of a hyper-cubic lattice in d dimensions, the model energy becomes invariant under flipping the sets of four neighboring spins (a local gauge transformation).²⁵ The p = 4Ising model so defined is called *Ising lattice gauge theory* and is known to present a single, disordered phase for any nonzero temperature in d = 2, when it is equivalent to an independent set of d = 1 pairwise Ising models. In d = 3 the Ising lattice gauge theory exhibits a phase transition, which is related to the d = 3 Ising model transition. The low-temperature phase is, however, unmagnetized, as a consequence of the local gauge symmetry: the expectation value of any operator not invariant under local gauge symmetries vanishes, a result called Elitzur's theorem.²⁴ The magnetization is a onebody observable, clearly not invariant under the 4-spin flipping gauge transformation, and it consequently vanishes. The nature of the low-temperature phase is unveiled instead by the gauge-invariant correlation function, or the expectation value of bunches of spins whose positions draw a planar close contour in the lattice. Such a non-local operator is helpful to interpret the phase transition as a confinement-deconfinement condensation of kinks, rather than a usual order-disorder transition found in pairwise models. In a different context, classical Ising models with four-body interactions are also studied as effective models for the interaction of superconducting electrons or grains.^{26,27}

On the other hand, different p = 4 Ising models have been studied from a statistical physical point of view.^{28–34} They are, in particular, subject of interest as far as their plaquette version may exhibit slow dynamics and other dynamical features reminiscent to those of glasses, which are self-induced (i.e., not induced by quenched disordered couplings).^{30–33}. The system with interacting quadruplets defined as the plaquettes of a hyper-cubic lattice (the *plaquette Ising model*) has been particularly studied. In two dimensions it presents a phase transition with dynamical activated behavior.³⁵ In three dimensions the model is called the Gonihedric model,^{36,37} and is known to exhibit a first-order phase transition, and a degenerated ground state.^{28,30,38} The slow dynamics, metastability and glass-like features of the 3D model have been studied in Refs. 30, 33, 39, and 40. A anisotropic variant of the Gonihedric model has been recently studied,⁴¹ its dynamical properties are shown to be signaled by the expectation values of quantum information-theoretical estimates in its quantum counterpart.

The O(2) generalization of the lattice gauge-invariant model, called Abelian gauge theory, presents a larger, O(2), local gauge invariance. Indeed, its behavior at low temperature is described in the continuum limit with the Euclidean action of electrodynamics, according to a spin-wave approximation resembling the one allowing to describe the undercritical temperature of the d = 2O(2) model in terms of a Gaussian theory.²⁴ As in the Ising gauge theory, the d = 2 Abelian gauge theory presents no phase transition, while the d = 3 presents a phase transition separating two unmagnetized phases and, again, the order parameter being a nonlocal contour correlator, an object which is directly related with the potential energy of deconfinement, in the field theoretical language.

II. THE LEADING MODELS: p = 4 XY AND COMPLEX SPHERICAL (CSM) MODELS

A. Definition of the model

We are interested in the statistical analysis of the mode wave interaction Hamiltonian, introduced in section I B. We will restrict our analysis to the four-body interaction term, as it contains the essential nonlinear phenomenology. The inclusion of the local interaction due to a non-flat gain, see Eq. (1), does not change the thermodynamic features of the model, and its inclusion is discussed in Sec. VIII C.

We, then, consider a set of N electromagnetic modes whose amplitudes are described by the complex numbers a_m , m = 1, ..., N, with phases $\phi_m = \arg a_m$ and moduli $A_m = |a_m|$. The Hamiltonian, \mathcal{H} , is completely specified in this case by the the list of quadruplets, or ordered sets of four mode indices (spqr), which correspond to different terms in \mathcal{H} . The list of quadruplets can be specified by the Adjacency Tensor, \mathcal{A}_{sprq} , equal to 1 whenever the quadruplet defined by its indices is a term of the Hamiltonian, and zero otherwise. Hence, \mathcal{H} takes the form⁵ (see Eq. (1)):

$$\mathcal{H} = -\frac{J_0}{8} \sum_{s,p,q,r} \mathcal{A}_{spqr} A_s A_p A_q A_r$$
$$\cos(\phi_s - \phi_p + \phi_q - \phi_r), \tag{5}$$

while the mode amplitudes are constraint by Eq. (4). This model corresponds to the (ferromagnetic) 4-body Complex Spherical Model (CSM) in an arbitrary topology of quadruplets. In the particular case where the moduli A_m are *fixed* and all equal to 1, the Hamiltonian reduces to the 4-body XY (O(2)) model:

$$\mathcal{H}_{\rm XY} = -\frac{J_0}{8} \sum_{s,p,q,r} \mathcal{A}_{spqr} \cos(\phi_s - \phi_p + \phi_q - \phi_r).$$
(6)

B. Symmetry of the list of quadruplets

The adjacency tensor \mathcal{A} is in general not symmetric under permutations of its indices. However it exhibits a symmetry which is also in each one of the terms in the Hamiltonian (5). Given an *ordered* set of four indices, its 24 possible permutations (i.e., quadruplets) can be split into 3 non-equivalent subsets of the 8 permutations that have the same energy. Moreover, if a quadruplet respects the FMC, then all its 8 equivalent permutations do. The three non-equivalent permutations can be chosen to be $\mathcal{Q} = \{(1234), (1324), (4231)\}$, in such a way that the Hamiltonian can be then written as:

$$\mathcal{H} = -J_0 \sum_{s
$$\cos(\phi_{\pi_s} - \phi_{\pi_p} + \phi_{\pi_q} - \phi_{\pi_r}), (7)$$$$

where π_s are the members of the permutation, $\pi = (\pi_1 \pi_2 \pi_3 \pi_4)$. This is the origin of the 1/8 factor in Eq. (5). The size scaling of J_0 is to be fixed in such a way that the energy $E = \langle H \rangle$ is an extensive quantity in both low and high-temperature phases. We will treat this point in Sec. III.

C. Topology of quadruplets

In the following analysis we have considered two types of topologies \mathcal{A} :

1) Homogeneous Topology (HT). The quadruplets are selected uniformly at random. The desired number of quadruplets (or ordered sets of four indices), N_4 , are randomly chosen among all the possible quadruplets. Specifically, in order to preserve the permutation symmetry of the Hamiltonian (cf. sec II B), this random selection is performed randomly selecting $N_4/8$ quadruplets among all possible N(N - 1)(N - 2)(N - 3)/8 quadruplets with different energy, and, for each one, we append all their 8 equivalent permutations to the list.

We will call *fully connected* the particular case of the HT such that all quadruplets are considered.

We stress that in the HT case, hence, the list of quadruplets is not conditioned by the set of frequencies. In the photonic language, this situation corresponds to the case of the so-called *narrow frequency distribution*, in which the different frequencies ω_n are all similar in magnitude, the difference between them being lower than the linewidth γ , so that the FMC Eq. (3) is trivially satisfied.

2) Correlated Topology (CT). The quadruplets are no longer chosen in an uncorrelated way, although still stochastically chosen. We randomly select $N_4/8$ quadruplets with different energy, *only* among the possible $\sim N^3$ quadruplets *spqr* satisfying the relation:

$$s - p + q - r = 0$$
, (8)

and, for each one, we append all its 8 equivalent permutations to the list. This prescription is the result of imposing a FMC, cf. Eq. (3), if one supposes a set of N frequencies distributed as a linear comb,

$$\omega_m = \omega_0 + m \,\delta\omega, \qquad \delta\omega \gg \gamma,\tag{9}$$

which is the case of interest describing closed cavity lasers. The FMC identity has become an integer identity since, in the optical interpretation, the values ω_m are to be understood as the centers of the bins of a discrete frequency distribution whose bin width is given by the line-width γ , so that Eq. (3) becomes equivalent to Eq. (8).

Besides having a clear physical motivation (the equispaced frequency case), the constraint Eq. (8) is also the simpler and most natural way of introducing correlations in an abstract stochastic set of interacting quadruplets. Consider the analogy with a random network: a way to construct random but correlated graphs is introducing some kind of distance between different nodes (as the absolute value of the difference between the node indices $d_{sp} = |s - p|$, and choosing bonds with a probability depending of such a distance. In the case of the list of quadruplets, one needs a four-index function, and a similar role can be played by $d_{spqr} = |s-p+q-r|$. The FMC with the equispaced set of frequencies is equivalent to choosing quadruplets presenting the minimum value, $d_{spqr} = 0$. In this way, the mode frequencies are not a degree of freedom, but a coordinate driving correlations as distance in a graph.

While there is a stochasticity in both Homogeneous and CT, due to the fact that only a random fraction of the possible quadruplets are considered, there is an important difference: in the HT, the average number of quadruplets connecting two nodes is independent of the nodes in the quadruplet, while in the CT one can show that the number of quadruplets (normalized as a probability distribution) connecting couples of nodes with frequency difference $\omega_i - \omega_j$, i.e., at a distance |i-j|, is h(x) = 2(-x+1) where x = |i-j|/N. Modes with similar frequencies are connected by a higher number of quadruplets (and, consequently, effectively more



FIG. 1. From-quadruplet graphs of two lists of quadruplets with N = 12, $N_4 = 97$ and Homogeneous (left) and Correlated (right) Topology, respectively. Adjacent nodes represent adjacent mode indices, and the thickness of a link is proportional to the number of quadruplets that contain the two linked nodes. The angular position of nodes corresponds to their index, so that nodes on adjacent clock hours have adjacent frequencies. The central frequencies are at hour 9 and 10 o'clock. For the HT the thickness is uncorrelated to the position. For the CT it is apparent that the thickest links are between adjacent modes. Moreover, in the CT case the modes at the center of the spectrum (at hour 9 and 10 o'clock) share more quadruplets than those at the edge (at hour 3 and 4 o'clock).

coupled) in Eq. (5). This difference is illustrated in Fig. 1: we show the difference through the so called *From-Quadruplet Graph*, or a weighted graph such that each node represents a mode, and the edge weight (represented by the line thickness) is proportional to the number of quadruplets containing their modes.

There are good reasons to classify the interaction topology in the two types, HT, CT. As we will explain below, if $N_4 \sim \mathcal{O}(N^{\geq 2})$, the thermodynamic behavior of the system is completely determined by the type of topology of quadruplets and not by N_4 , and it is essentially different in the HT and CT cases. The frequency correlations in the last case induce correlations between mode amplitudes with different frequencies, $a_j a_{j'}$, that will drastically modify the thermodynamic phases, as will be shown in Sec. V. We stress that there is, then, also a convenience for studying stochastic sets of quadruplets: as we will discuss, such dilute systems can be numerically processed more efficiently, hence the usefulness of the dilute ensemble of quadruplets. This point will be discussed in Sec. IV.

On the other hand, one may ask why we do study stochastic sets of quadruplets instead of considering, for example, deterministic sets given by the four nodes composing a plaquette of a *d*-dimensional hyper-cubic lattice, as done for the Gonihedric model in the works already mentioned in the introduction. The answer is given by the fact that the p = 4 ferromagnetic Spherical Model, as we explain in the next section, presents a trivial thermodynamic behavior when the number of quadruplets is low enough and, in particular, in the $N_4 \sim \mathcal{O}(N)$ case corresponding to the plaquette-based list of quadruplets. From the point of view of optics, on the other hand, the present system is relevant for the description of a closed cavity laser, such that, in principle, each mode interacts with the rest of the modes (the fully connected case). This would lead to $N_4 \sim \mathcal{O}(N^4)$ (or to $N_4 \sim \mathcal{O}(N^3)$ with the constraint Eq. (8)), a situation which is incompatible with the plaquette-based topology.

III. ROLE OF THE QUADRUPLET DILUTION THRESHOLD

A. Non-equipartite condensation in the Spherical Model.

As will see, the Complex Spherical model presents a trivial low-temperature behavior, that will be called Non-equipartite Condensation, whenever the number of quadruplets is low enough, $N_4 \sim \mathcal{O}(N^{<2})$ for the ferromagnetic case. The non-equipartite condensation is such that all the spherical constraint Eq. (4) is concentrated in a low, $\mathcal{O}(1)$ number of sites, whose amplitudes are $A \sim \mathcal{O}(\sqrt{N})$. In this case, the energy in the low-temperature phase is of order $E \sim -J_0 N^2$. The Equipartition, alternative to the non-equipartite condensation, is characterized by a $A \sim \mathcal{O}(1)$ in both phases, hence $E \sim -J_0 N_4$. In the latter case, the low-T phase is characterized by the homogeneity of spin moduli, which tend to *lock*, i.e., to become equal throughout the system, contrarily to the former case. One observes that the energy is lower (of a larger order with N) in the non-equipartite condensation whenever $N_4 \sim \mathcal{O}(N^{<2})$. Requiring the extensivity of the energy one obtains that, according to the type of condensation, J_0 is subject to satisfy the following scaling

$$J_0 \sim \begin{cases} 1/N & \text{non-equipartition} \quad (N_4 \sim \mathcal{O}(N^{<2})) \\ N/N_4 & \text{equipartition} \quad (N_4 \sim \mathcal{O}(N^{>2})) \end{cases}$$
(10)

For high enough temperature, one expects a disordered phase with uncorrelated and equipartited spins. The extensivity of the energy requires $J_0N_4 \sim \mathcal{O}(N)$, implying in its turn that the non-equipartite condensation do not occur for $N_4 \sim \mathcal{O}(N^{>2})$, confirming Eq. (10).

This argument does not apply to the marginal situation $N_4 \sim \mathcal{O}(N^2)$. We expect, however, equipartition, since in this circumstance there is an extensive entropic contribution to the free energy. This is in agreement with our numerical results for all the considered systems satisfying $N_4 \sim \mathcal{O}(N^2)$, which turn to be equipartite.

In the following we are interested in the equipartite case. We, hence, consider from now on systems with $N_4 \sim \mathcal{O}(N^{\geq 2})$. Our Hamiltonian, in its final form, will be taken as (see Eq. (10)):

$$\mathcal{H} = -\frac{N}{8N_4} \sum_{spqr} \mathcal{A}_{spqr} A_s A_p A_q A_r$$
$$\cos(\phi_s - \phi_p + \phi_q - \phi_r). \tag{11}$$

B. Non-equipartition in the Disordered Spherical Model.

Although in the next chapter our numerical analysis focuses on the ferromagnetic case, for completeness we also discuss how the non-equipartite condensation occurs in the quenched disordered case below the higher threshold $N_4 \sim \mathcal{O}(N^3)$. The argument is based on a mean field approximation allowing to compute the scaling of the average energy with N, N_4 within the replica formalism. The details can be found in the Appendix B. Supposing that the coupling J in Eq. (5) is no longer ferromagnetic but Gaussian distributed with average J_0 and variance σ , one has that the energy scaling in both non- and equipartite types of condensation goes as:

$$E \sim \begin{cases} \text{non-equipartite} & -(J_0 + \sigma)N^2 \\ \text{equipartite} & -(J_0 + \sigma^2)N^2 \end{cases}, \quad (12)$$

so that for the extensivity of the energy, one is forced to take for σ the minimum between N/N_4 and $1/N^2$. Hence, the threshold between non- and equipartition becomes in this case $N_4 = \mathcal{O}(N^3)$. This threshold is compatible with the provisional results of our simulations in the presence of disorder (that will be reported in a future communication).

C. Magnetized-to-unmagnetized threshold of the XY model for low number of quadruplets.

An equivalent threshold effect is observed for the p = 4 XY ferromagnet, Eq. (6), with HT. In this case the threshold is, instead, the extensive situation $N_4 \sim \mathcal{O}(N)$, above which the system presents a low temperature phase with spontaneous breaking of the O(2) symmetry. Below and at the threshold, i.e., for $N_4 \sim \mathcal{O}(N^{\leq 1})$, the model remains unmagnetized. This fact will be discussed in more detail in Sec. VI.

IV. NUMERICAL ANALYSIS

A. Efficient Monte Carlo simulation: the synchronous Monte Carlo algorithm

We have performed a Monte Carlo (MC) integration using a home-made algorithm dealing with vector p = 4interaction models in arbitrary topologies. The algorithm uses local updates (in the case of the Spherical Model it is not possible to use cluster updating, due to the non-locality induced by the spherical constraint). The Parallel Tempering algorithm has been used to enhance equilibration in large systems.

Moreover, for most of the results presented in this article we have used a parallel, high-performing version of the algorithm, running on Graphics Processing Units. The parallel Monte Carlo integration of a system of interacting spins requires the division of the set of spins in non-interacting subsets, such that the members of each one can be processed in parallel. In bipartite lattices, such a division is called the checkerboard decomposition, while in general graphs defining the pairwise interaction it is necessary to perform the *coloring* of the graph, in such a way that all spins with equal color are processed in parallel, and different colors are processed sequentially.⁴² As explained before, the case of interest is a system in which the topology of the interaction is given by a set of at least $\mathcal{O}(N^2)$ quadruplets between Nmodes, so that each mode possesses an extensive number of *quadruplet neighbors*, i.e., of modes such that there is at least a quadruplet connecting both. As a consequence, the MC parallelization of such a kind of highly connected system is, in principle, unfeasible.

However, parallel Monte Carlo techniques can still be used in this case. We have observed that, quite remarkably, there are circumstances (that will be specified elsewhere) in which applying the so-called *Synchronous Monte Carlo* rule (i.e., to all spins in parallel, regardless of their connectivity), one recovers the correct results. Although one is making an error in each update (since one updates interacting spins simultaneously), the overall error averages down to zero. In a fully connected p = 2 spin model the fully-parallel MC update does not differ with respect to a sequential MC scheme, as it has been already observed.⁴³ In the present case with p = 4body interactions and $\mathcal{O}(N^{\geq 2})$ quadruplets, the results of the Synchronous Monte Carlo are, again, consistent from the serial algorithm.

It is particularly remarkable that for the present model it is not necessary to have a fully connected system for the Synchronous Monte Carlo algorithm to work: a dilute, but connected enough system is sufficient to obtain results which are indistinguishable from that of the serial MC algorithm. Interestingly, this holds true even if the transition is no longer describable in mean field approximation: we will show that in the CT case fluctuations arise and change the nature of the transition and, even in this case, the Synchronous Monte Carlo leads to correct results.

An example of the reliability of the Synchronous Monte Carlo is shown in Fig. 2 for the average energy in the HT, but the picture is valid also for the CT case. The measures are always compatible for a serial MC and a parallel MC. In the low temperature phase, in particular, the values are numerically indistinguishable. Some appreciable deviations are observed only in the high temperature phase in the case of diluted systems ($N_4 = N^2$ in Fig. 2). In this case the synchronous algorithm predicts an average energy which is closer to zero, although compatible with the serial algorithm within thermal fluctuations. For $T > T_c$, the synchronous algorithm has hence the effect of masking finite size effects, since the energy per site E/N vanishes at large N for $T > T_c$.



FIG. 2. High temperature intensive energy versus temperature for systems with three sizes in a diluted HT with $N_4 = N^2$ quadruplets, computed with the serial MC algorithm (open symbols for N = 50, 100, 150). The results obtained with the synchronous update for these systems (corresponding full symbols for N = 100, 150) yield an average value closer to zero, though compatible in the statistical uncertainty. At low temperature both algorithms accurately coincide and the results are indistinguishable, as displayed in the inset for N = 150. Note as the fully connected system (open circles), simulated by means of a serial MC exhibits a zero energy at high T yet for N = 50.

B. Observables of interest

Besides the energy $E = \langle \mathcal{H} \rangle$, we will consider the following observables. Firstly, the specific heat:

$$c = \frac{1}{N} \frac{\partial \langle \mathcal{H} \rangle}{\partial T} = \frac{\langle \mathcal{H}^2 \rangle - \langle \mathcal{H} \rangle^2}{N T^2}.$$
 (13)

Also, the average modulus, $\langle r \rangle$ with r being

$$r = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j} A_j \tag{14}$$

a quantity which is related with the site-fluctuations of the modulus: $\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j} (|a_{j}| - r)^{2} = 1 - r^{2}$: the larger r, the more *locked* are the moduli of the spins in a given configuration, and r = 1 corresponds to a configuration with all the mode amplitudes that have modulus equals to one. Another interesting observable is the *magnetization*, $\langle m \rangle$, where m is the complex number:

$$m = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j} a_j, \tag{15}$$

along with its Cartesian components, $m_x = \operatorname{Re}[m]$, $m_y = \operatorname{Im}[m]$.

Finally, we also measure frequency correlation functions, which are ensemble averaged correlations between modes whose frequencies differ by a given quantity ω . These observables acquire full sense in the CT case, when the mode frequencies play a role in the topology and, hence, in the thermodynamics. We define, in particular, the intensity correlation function C_i :

$$C_{i}(\omega) = \frac{1}{K(\omega)} \left\langle \sum_{i,j=1}^{N} A_{i}^{2} A_{j}^{2} \delta(\omega_{i} - \omega_{j} + \omega) \right\rangle \qquad (16)$$

 $K(\omega) = \sum_i \sum_j \delta(\omega_i - \omega_j + \omega)$ being the normalization, along with the *phase correlation function*, $C_{\rm p}$:

$$C_{\rm p}(\omega) = \frac{1}{K(\omega)} \left\langle \sum_{i,j=1}^{N} \cos(\phi_i - \phi_j) \,\delta(\omega_i - \omega_j + \omega) \right\rangle.$$
(17)

We also define their respective *connected* functions:

$$\bar{C}_{i}(\omega) = C_{i}(\omega) - \frac{1}{K(\omega)} \sum_{i,j=1}^{N} \langle A_{i}^{2} \rangle \langle A_{j}^{2} \rangle \,\delta(\omega_{i} - \omega_{j} + \omega)$$
(18)

and idem for $\bar{C}_{\rm p}(\omega)$.

C. Details of the simulations

We have considered finite-size realizations for several values of N, ranging from N = 50 to $N = 10^3$, depending on the topology and on N_4 . As an equilibration test we have verified the stationarity of the distributions of observables in different Monte Carlo time windows of exponentially increasing length, and the symmetry of the histograms of the single components of the magnetization, $h(m_{x,y}) = h(-m_{x,y})$ (cf. Fig. 10).

Throughout our analysis, we have not performed systematic averages over realizations of the list of quadruplets, in none of the topology types (HT, CT). This is justified since the fluctuations of thermodynamic quantities among different realizations of the interaction network are at least one order of magnitude less than thermal fluctuations. In Fig. 3 we show how thermal fluctuations are larger than topological fluctuations of the energy in the worst case analyzed: the N = 50 with $N_4 \sim \mathcal{O}(N^2)$ quadruplets distributed with the CT (i.e., the smallest, most inhomogeneous system).

V. NUMERICAL RESULTS FOR THE COMPLEX SPHERICAL MODEL

We now present the results of our Monte Carlo analysis for the Complex Spherical Model. The most salient feature of our simulations is the presence of a phase transition of first-order nature. The phase transition separates a high-T phase with randomly distributed degrees of freedom, zero magnetization and zero energy per mode for large N from a low-temperature phase with (1) locked moduli and phases (2) non-zero spinspin correlations, at least in single configurations and for moderate time scales.

A remarkable observation is the irrelevance of random dilution: for both kinds of topologies the results



FIG. 3. Thermal energy fluctuations versus topological (graph-to-graph) fluctuations of a CT system with N = 50 and with $N_4 = N^2$ quadruplets. The topological fluctuations have been computed over a set of 100 realizations of the list of quadruplets.



FIG. 4. Energy per spin versus temperature for several sizes in the HT with $N_4 = N^2$. The finite-size critical temperature $T_c(N)$ increases with size. The inset shows that the data satisfies a scaling of the form $T_c(N) - T_c^{\text{mf}} \sim N^{-b}$, with b = 0.6, indicating that they are compatible with the mean field critical temperature for large N.

of our simulations are independent on the number of quadruplets, as far as this quantity is above the threshold $N_4 \sim \mathcal{O}(N^{\geq 2})$ corresponding to equipartite systems. This means that the results (with the only exception of the finite-size scaling of the critical temperature) remain unchanged in the broad range of N_4 scaling from $\sim N^4$ down to $\sim N^2$.

There is an essential difference between the thermodynamic behavior of the system in the presence of Homogeneous and Correlated Topologies: in the first case the behavior is compatible with the mean-field solution, the low-temperature phase is spontaneously magnetized, and spin-spin correlators are nonzero. On the other hand, for CT's the results significantly differ from the mean field solution; there is lack of spontaneous magnetization at low temperatures, and two-point correlators turn out to vanish.

A. Homogeneous topology

1. General features and comparison with mean field theory

We will first consider the HT system and the fully connected case (i.e., with all the possible quadruplets active), as a particular case of it. Our first, already mentioned result is that, given the topology type, the dilution turns out to be irrelevant: the intensive quantities for values of N_4 lower than its maximum value are indistinguishable, within statistical errors, from that of the fully connected case. We thus expect the behavior in the HT to coincide in the large-N limit with the mean field solution of the model,^{5,19} cf. Appendix A. This predicts for the transition temperature $T_c^{\rm mf} = 0.40726$. In Fig. 4 we present a finite size analysis of the energy E in the case of a homogeneous set of $N_4 \sim \mathcal{O}(N^2)$ quadruplets. The high-temperature phase has zero energy, and decreases discontinuously at a size-dependent value $T_c(N)$. As shown in the figure inset for the $N_4 \sim \mathcal{O}(N^2)$ case, the finite size scaling of the transition temper-ature, $T_c(N) = kN^{-b} + T_c(\infty)$, leads to an infinite-volume $T_c(\infty)$ which is compatible with T_c^{mf} , for all the studied sets of quadruplets.⁴⁴

In figure 4 we also present the energy as a function of temperature for the marginal mean field solution shown in Appendix A

$$E_{\rm mf}(T) = -\frac{1}{4} [1 + (1 - 2T)^{1/2}]^2, \qquad T < T_c.$$
 (19)

It is apparent how, while the value of the critical temperature is compatible with the mean field analytical solution, the behavior of $E_{\rm mf}(T)$ below the transition does not coincide with the numerics. Indeed, the solution Eq. (19) corresponds to the solution of two uncoupled real spherical models with apart spherical constraints, as it can be seen analytically and verified numerically. We consider this observation as the evidence of the inaccuracy of this solution in the generic case of coupled real and imaginary parts of the complex amplitudes. To restore the entropy corresponding to the angular degree of freedom (the extra freedom coming from the global constraint, which is less restrictive than two independent constraints), it may be necessary to consider corrections with contributions of $\mathcal{O}(N)$ to the saddle-point equations, changing the solution (and its stability). According to this argument, the marginally stable mean field energy (19) must be lower than the corrected mean field solution as, indeed, observed in Fig. 4.

This problem is absent in both the XY and the real spherical model, for which the respective mean field solutions exactly describe the behavior of finite size systems already at quite small sizes at all temperatures, as we have verified numerically.

The finite size transition temperatures $T_c(N)$ reported as vertical lines in Fig. 4 have been calculated from the bimodal energy probability distribution (cf., Fig. 5), as the temperatures at which the high- and



FIG. 5. Histogram of the energy at several temperatures of a N = 100 system in the HT with $N_4 = N^2$. There is a temperature range in which the high-T and the low-T peaks coexist.



FIG. 6. Stable and metastable energy per spin in systems with N = 50, 300, HTs and with $N_4 = N^2$. The temperature endpoint of metastability approaches the finite size critical temperature for large and larger sizes. The vertical line signals the mean field critical point.

low-energy peaks enclose equal areas. We have also considered the metastable continuation of the disordered phase energy, averaging over the disordered peak only, and a temperature limit of the metastable regime (a Spinodal Temperature T_s) as the one at which the lowenergy peak vanishes. As shown in Fig. 6, the quantity $T_s(N) - T_c(N)$ decreases with increasing size, thus indicating that again the metastability behavior of the model is different from that predicted by the marginally stable mean field solution, which predicts $T_s = 1/2$ in the thermodynamic limit (the metastable energy being that of Eq. (19), continued to $T_s = 1/2$). The shrinking of the metastable interval persists even using a Monte Carlo protocol which favors the relaxation towards the (low-T) metastable phase, i.e., starting from an ordered configuration and switching off the Parallel Tempering algorithm. The observed decreasing of the metastable interval with system size is so strong that, with the actual statistics and temperature grid, for the largest



FIG. 7. Specific heat versus temperature for different sizes of HT. The dataset is the same of figure 4. The inset shows the data with the scaling relation $T_c(N) - T_c^{\text{mf}} \sim N^{-b}$, with b = 0.6.

simulated sizes we are not able to observe any spinodal point distinct from the critical point in the statistical error. For the largest sizes the low energy peak in the energy distribution associated to the ordered phase, see Fig. 5, disappears as the zero energy peak of the paramagnetic phase appears. This situation is different from what happens in the marginal mean field solution described in Appendix A. We note also that the finitesize nature of metastability in temperature-driven transitions has already been observed in a ferromagnetic model with pairwise interactions.⁴⁵

The specific heat is presented in Fig. 7. One observes no divergence with increasing system size, and a finite size scaling confirming the one of the energy reported in Fig. 4. The average modulus and the magnetization are presented in Fig. 8. For high temperature, the average modulus achieve (up to $\mathcal{O}(N^{-1/2})$ fluctuations) the value $(2/\pi)^{1/2}$, which is the average modulus of uncorrelated complex random variables satisfying the spherical constraint, as can be exactly proven for large N. The value of $\langle r \rangle$ is discontinuous at the transition and converges to 1 for $T \to 0$, which means that all the spins exhibit equal modulus, $|a_i| = 1$. The magnetization vanishes in the high-T phase and it is 1 for zero temperature, indicating that it is of ferromagnetic nature: not only the moduli are locked but also all the phases coincide and both phases and moduli lock at the same temperature, as predicted by mean-field theory.¹⁹

B. Correlated Topology of quadruplets

We will now describe the differences induced by the presence of inhomogeneity of quadruplet topology, due to the FMC. The inhomogeneities promote fluctuations on the radial and angular degrees of freedom, not describable in mean field approximation. As a consequence, the behavior substantially differs from the HT case.

1. Absence of spontaneous O(2) symmetry breaking

Although the transition remains first order, and qualitatively equal to that of the HT case, the energy density stays below the HT case (see Fig. 9). Again, the energy density is independent from N_4 up to fluctuations. The most dramatic difference induced by the CT is, however, seen in the average magnetization, which vanishes for all temperatures. We show the change in the magnetization behavior comparing the histogram of the magnetization components $m_x = \operatorname{Re}[m]$, Fig. 10. In both HT and CT cases, the high-temperature phase is unmagnetized with a Gaussian distribution of m_x centered in zero. In the Homogeneous case, the low temperature phase is magnetized $(|m|^2 \rightarrow 1 \text{ for } T \rightarrow 0)$ following a phase direction ($\phi = \arg m$) which is degenerated, and whose average projection in the x axis results in the peaks of $h(m_x)$. For zero temperature, the distribution coincides, indeed, with $h(m) = (2\pi)^{-1}(1-m^2)^{-1/2}$, or the m distribution corresponding to a homogeneously distributed ϕ , cf. Fig. 10; in other words, the average magnetization is zero for HT, but this happens since the single configurations are fully magnetized over an angle which is degenerated. In the CT case, on the other hand, the low temperature phase is unmagnetized: the average and the most probable magnetization remains zero for arbitrary low temperature, indicating absence of global magnetic order. In the low-temperature phase, the magnetization histogram becomes nearly constant in temperature and it develops long tails.

2. Phase Wave and two-point phase correlators

At the origin of this feature there is a property of the low-T phase with CT that we call the *Phase Wave*. Modes at near-by frequencies, with small value of |i - j|, participate in a larger number of quadruplets, since



FIG. 8. Average moduli versus temperature for systems with N = 300, HT and CT. Inset: average modulus of the magnetization versus temperature for the two systems. The CT system is unmagnetized also at low temperatures.



FIG. 9. Comparison between energy of systems with Homogeneous and CT with N = 100 modes and $N_4 = N^2$. The black line is the marginal stable mean field solution. The HT data is observed to converge at -1 for $T \to 0$ increasing the size, while the CT remains lower than the HT curve at low temperatures, and converges to a value lower than one for $T \to 0$.



FIG. 10. Histograms of a Cartesian component of the magnetization, for two systems with N = 300 and $N_4 = N^2$, HT and CT (lower panel and upper panel respectively). The HT case is fully magnetized and for zero temperature it converges to the function $(2\pi(1-m^2))^{-1/2}$ (indicated as a black curve in the lower panel). The CT system is unmagnetized at all temperatures.



FIG. 11. Angular degree of freedom $\phi_j = \arg a_j$, versus spin index j of two single equilibrated configurations with N = 500 spins in a CT of quadruplets at a common undercritical temperature, T = 0.34. It is evident the presence of a Phase Wave, i.e., the approximated linear dependence of the phase on the spin index. Configurations are shown exhibiting different Phase Wave slopes, Δ_1 , Δ_2 .



FIG. 12. The function Σ , Eq. (20), describing the proliferation of different Phase Wave slopes, in a CT system with N = 80, $N_4 = N^2$, for four temperatures. The different peaks correspond to different possible slopes. At supercritical temperatures, Σ does not present peaks but uncorrelated oscillations, while at undercritical temperatures, the position of the peaks is common for all the temperatures, and the peak amplitude roughly decreases for increasing temperature.

the condition Eq. (8) is more frequently satisfied than for distant modes. For this reason, near-by spins in the frequency are effectively more coupled, and tend to align. This induces a Phase Wave (in analogy with the "spin wave" term in the context of the O(2) pairwise model): in single low T configurations, the phases of the spins exhibit an approximated linear dependence with the frequencies ω_j (or with the spin index, see Eq. (9) $\phi(\omega_j) \simeq \phi_0 + \Delta(\omega_j - \omega_0)$, where Δ is the phase wave slope, a configuration-dependent quantity. In Fig. 11 we illustrate the phase wave at two different equilibrated configurations at the same temperature.

Given a realization of the quadruplet topology, there are some different possible values of the phase wave slope with a nontrivial probability distribution. We consider, then, the quantity

$$\Sigma(\Delta) = \left| \sum_{j=1}^{N} \langle \cos \phi_j \rangle \, e^{i 2\pi j \Delta/N} \right|. \tag{20}$$

A given value of the slope Δ is a narrow peak in the function Σ . At a finite temperature, we observe wide peaks in Δ , as a result of thermal fluctuations, at some privileged values of Δ depending on the specific realization of the list of quadruplets (see Fig. 12). Given a realization of the list of quadruplets, there are peaks at fixed values of Δ , the amplitude of which increases with decreasing temperature. Above the critical temperature, on the other hand, the function Σ randomly fluctuates near zero, indicating the lack of correlation between different spins.

The Phase Wave is, hence, the microscopic mechanism for which there is no global O(2) symmetry breaking in the low-T phase of the CT.

Phase Correlation versus Frequency. The phase correlation function helps to further characterize the Phase Wave above described. Fig. 13 reports the phase correlation function C_p for a system with N = 150 in a CT, for several temperatures. In the figure, the correlations have been averaged over a short number ($\tau \sim 10^3$) of Monte Carlo steps. While for $T > T_c$ the phases of different spins are completely uncorrelated, the correlation is not trivial for $T < T_c$, and presents oscillations in frequency around zero, in correspondence with the Phase Wave oscillations: spins near-by in frequency (in spin index) exhibit strongly correlated orientations, at least in single configurations.

The picture, however, turns different when averaging over larger intervals of time. Our numerical results indicate that in the CT the sum of the correlations $C_{\rm p}$ over all distances decays to zero when averaged for arbitrary large Monte Carlo times, at difference with the HT case (see Fig. 14 and Sec. VB4). This gives strong evidence of the fact that the two-point angle correlators vanish even at arbitrary low temperatures. The microscopic origin of this fact is the degeneracy of Phase Wave configurations with different slopes, so that phase correlations corresponding to different slopes cancel out.

3. Two-point moduli correlations

As a further insight into the low-temperature phase we present the behavior of the two-point moduli correlator. As shown in Fig. 15, the disconnected quantity C_i is approximately equal to $(2/\pi)^2$ in the high-*T* phase, indicating independence of moduli, while for low temperatures there is a nontrivial correlation presenting a



FIG. 13. Phase correlation function of a CT system with $N = 300, N_4 = N^2$, averaged over small time intervals $\tau = 10^3$ MC steps. Different curves correspond to different temperatures. While the correlation for supercritical temperatures vanishes up to thermal fluctuations, at low temperatures it oscillates with a frequency given by the Phase Wave slope.

maximum at a nonzero value of the spin frequency distance ω , and decaying below the value $(2/\pi)^2$ for distant spins, which are less coupled and hence less correlated. Since spins must obey the spherical constraint, the existence of spins with moduli larger than one implies the existence of other spins with moduli less than one. However, the connected function \tilde{C}_i , registering the fluctuations on top of this general tendency, results to vanish for large system size, as can be seen for different sizes in Fig. 15: for larger and larger sizes, the values of \tilde{C}_i corresponding to both phases decrease with the value of N, along with the "gap" separating the data of both phases, which turns to be a finite-size effect of the hightemperature phase.

We conclude that also moduli-moduli correlators vanish for CT in the thermodynamic limit.

4. Slow dynamics at low temperature

We now present numerical evidence of the CT system to exhibit slow dynamics at low temperatures, whose origin is the degeneracy of phase wave configurations with different frequencies. To this aim, we define dynamical measurements, through the time average $\langle \cdots \rangle_{\tau} = \sum_{\tau'}^{\tau} (\cdots) / \tau$ over a finite time interval of length τ , in units of local Monte Carlo steps. For sufficiently large τ , such an average coincides with the thermal average. Consequently, we define the τ -Correlation Function for phases and moduli, respectively as

$$C_{\rm p}(\tau) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{r} \Xi_{\tau}(r) \,, \tag{21}$$

$$C_{\rm i}(\tau) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{r} \left[\langle A_i A_j \rangle_{\tau} - \langle A_i \rangle_{\tau} \langle A_j \rangle_{\tau} \right] \,, \qquad (22)$$



FIG. 14. Angular two-point correlators, as in Fig. 13, but with N = 100 and averaged over larger intervals of local-update Monte Carlo time ($\tau = 10^4$ and 10^5 , upper and lower panel respectively).

$$\Xi_{\tau}(r) \equiv \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j} \left[\langle \cos(\phi_{j} - \phi_{j+r}) \rangle_{\tau} - \langle \cos \phi_{j} \rangle_{\tau} \langle \cos \phi_{j+r} \rangle_{\tau} - \langle \sin \phi_{j} \rangle_{\tau} \langle \sin \phi_{j+r} \rangle_{\tau} \right],$$
(23)

along with the modified τ -long Phase Correlation Function:

$$\Psi(\tau) = \sum_{r=1}^{N} \frac{N}{K(r)} \left| \Xi_{\tau}(r) \right| \tag{24}$$

where K is defined after Eq. (16). The functions $C_{p,i}(\tau)$ are simply the sum of two-point correlators in different sites, while $\Psi(\tau)$ is the the sum of the absolute value of the function $\Xi_{\tau}(r)$ for all the possible spectral distances r. Note also that in the limit $\tau \to \infty$ the time average coincides with the equilibrium average and, thus, $\Psi(\tau \to \infty)$ is equivalent to $\sum_{\omega} C_p(\omega)$, cf. Eq. (17).

We stress that the function $\Psi(\tau)$ decays slower than $C_{\rm p}(\tau)$, and it has been defined to estimate the correlation time of the Phase Wave, as it does not include the anti-correlation between "distant" spins (intrinsic to the Phase Wave configurations) occurring in single configurations. Both functions, nevertheless, present a qualitatively similar behavior.

As it has been explained in the previous section, we have found strong evidence for the thermal average of both C_p and Ψ to vanish at low temperature in the CT, and to be nonzero in the HT. Above T_c they obviously vanish for all topologies, up to finite-size effects. This



FIG. 15. Upper panel: disconnected modulus correlation function, cf., Eq. (16). N = 300, $N_4 = N^2$, the time averages are over thermalized data in time windows of length $\tau = 10^6$. Lower panel: the connected modulus correlation function (Eq. (18)) for the same system. In the inset the connected modulus correlation function at the temperature T = 0.46 is shown for the sizes N = 900, 200, 100, showing that the function decrease for increasing sizes.

is illustrated in Fig. 16 for a N = 50 system in the CT case, where correlations decay towards zero for sufficiently large times.

A remarkable feature of the temporal correlation functions is that, at least for CT, both C_p and Ψ decay slower and slower as temperature decreases. This is also reflected in the probability distribution of the Phase Wave correlation time, τ_{ϕ} , defined as the time employed by $\Psi(\tau)$ to decay below a given threshold. Such a distribution develops long tails as temperature decreases, as shown in Ref. 44.

An explanation for such a behavior is provided by the dynamical measure of the function Σ . Its estimation in equilibrium dynamical simulations over a time window such that C_p in Fig. 16 has not yet decayed, *presents just few peaks* or even a single peak only, corresponding to the few different Phase Wave configurations with fixed slope in which the system remains trapped during few thousands of local MC steps. In this situation the use of a nonlocal update, as the Parallel Tempering algorithm, is essential to thermalize the system (to get it



FIG. 16. Temporal correlation functions $C_{\rm p}$ and Ψ for N = 50, $N_4 = N^2$, CT and HT's (upper and lower panel, respectively), for several temperatures (the color code is as in Fig. 10). The finite-size critical temperature is T(50) = 0.39(7).

decorrelated) in a feasible number of MC steps (~ 10^4 for a system with N = 50), recovering the multiplicity of peaks in Fig. 12. These facts suggest a dynamical picture of the CT system according to which, at low temperatures, different Phase Wave slopes are degenerated and correspond in some way to different minima in the potential energy landscape, so that the time to escape from one of them dramatically increases with decreasing T.

A careful sight suggests that a slow dynamics may be present also in the HT case, whose origin is, however, different, being towards a nonzero value for the correlation. The analysis in the HT case is more difficult since it requires the knowledge of the thermalized probability distributions of $C_{\rm p}$, Ψ at different temperatures. A deeper study is necessary to describe the dynamics of both cases in an accurate way.

The moduli temporal correlation function C_i presents but quite short relaxation times even at low temperature in both HT and CT, indicating that the moduli dynamics is irrelevant in the emergence of large timescales.



FIG. 17. Energy of the XY model in a dense, homogeneous set of quadruplets $(N_4 = N^2)$ for several sizes. The two largest have a critical transition temperature larger than the mean field prediction. The data are indistinguishable from the mean field result for $T < T_c^{\text{mf.}}$.

VI. NUMERICAL RESULTS FOR THE XY MODEL

The XY model with four-body interactions (defined by the Hamiltonian Eq. (6) with quenched amplitudes, $A_j = 1$ for all j), presents as well a rich and interesting phenomenology, that we now resume.

Dense Homogeneous Topology. As we have explained in the previous section, the moduli dynamics at low temperatures does not play any essential role in the thermodynamics of the Spherical Model for a dense $(N_4 \sim \mathcal{O}(N^{\geq 2}))$ set of quadruplets: in the lowtemperature phase the moduli are more and more homogeneous and equal to one at lower and lower temperatures. The behavior of the p = 4 XY model in dense HT's, as one could expect from this argument, is indeed qualitatively identical to that of the Spherical Model: there is a discontinuous phase transition separating a phase with uncorrelated angles, and a low-Tmagnetized phase with O(2) symmetry breaking. The finite-size critical point $T_c(N)$ is obviously higher than the Complex Spherical Model case (see Fig. 17). In the dilute (though dense) version, $N_4 \sim \mathcal{O}(N^2)$, we have observed how the mean field solution accurately reproduce the numerical results for energy and magnetization, with the exception of the transition temperature, which may be higher than the mean field value (see sizes N = 200 and 300 in Fig. 17). In the fully connected case the critical temperature is compatible with the mean field value.

Sparse Homogeneous Topology. We have also considered the case with high dilution, so that the number of quadruplets is $N_4 \sim \mathcal{O}(N^{<2})$. For the Complex Spherical Model, one obtains a non-equipartite condensation in such a topology, as explained in Sec. III. In the XY case, our simulations provide instead evidence that the system exhibits the mean-field behavior for $N_4 \sim \mathcal{O}(N^{>1})$. In the extensive, homogeneously



FIG. 18. Energy of the XY model in sparse $(N_4 = N)$, homogeneous sets of quadruplets, for four sizes. The energy is continuous at the transition. Inset: Specific Heat for the same systems.



FIG. 19. m_x histogram of the XY model in a sparse ($N_4 = N$), homogeneous set of quadruplets, for N = 150. Inset: m_x histogram for the size N = 500.

sparse, case $N_4 \sim \mathcal{O}(N)$, instead, we observe evidence for the onset of a second-order phase transition, separating two unmagnetized phases. Remarkably, the effect of diluting, until reaching sparseness, has the effect of preventing the symmetry breaking. The energy presents no discontinuity while the specific heat is an increasing function of N at the transition, cf. Fig. 18. The magnetization histograms reveal absence of angular symmetry breaking, with long tails that appear continuously at low temperatures and whose magnitude decreases with the size of the system, cf. Fig. 19. The resemblance of the *sparse* case with the unbroken symmetry in the pairwise XY model in two dimensions is discussed in the next section.

Correlated Topology. Remarkably, for both $N_4 \sim \mathcal{O}(N)$ and $N_4 \sim \mathcal{O}(N^{\geq 2})$, our numerical analysis suggests that the phase transition remains discontinuous, with a low-temperature phase characterized by the absence of magnetization and the presence of phase waves, as in the Complex Spherical Model case in a dense CT.

model	topology	N_4	transition	$m(T < T_c)$
CSM	HT	$\mathcal{O}(N^{\geq 2})$	1^{st} -order	$\neq 0$
CSM	HT	$\mathcal{O}(N^{<2})$	non-Eq. cond.	-
CSM	CT	$\mathcal{O}(N^{\geq 2})$	1^{st} -order	= 0
CSM	CT	$\mathcal{O}(N^{<2})$	non-Eq. cond.	-
XY	HT	$\mathcal{O}(N^{>1})$	1^{st} -order	$\neq 0$
XY	HT	$\mathcal{O}(N)$	2^{nd} -order	= 0
XY	CT	$\mathcal{O}(N^{>1})$	1^{st} -order	= 0
XY	CT	$\mathcal{O}(N)$	1^{st} -order	= 0

TABLE I. Nature of the transitions and of the correlators in the low-T phase for the different considered models, as emerges from the numerical analysis. Whenever m = 0, also the two-point correlators $C^{i,p}$ vanish.



FIG. 20. XY model energy in CTs for several sizes and two types of dilution, dense $(N_4 = N^2)$ and sparse $(N_4 = N)$. The inset shows the energy histogram in the sparse case with N = 200 and several temperatures in the range [0.38:0.51]. In the presence of CT the transition remains first order even in the sparse system, with the energy in the low temperature phase coinciding with the mean field theory (see main text).

In the presence of a CT, our results indicate that the sparseness of the list of quadruplets (i.e., $N_4 \sim \mathcal{O}(N)$ in this case) only changes the approach to the critical point from *high temperatures*, where we observe an ordering with negative energy different from the phase wave, while the transition remains first order. We present the finite size E(T) curves in Fig. 20. From our data, we have concluded that the transition remains first order in the sparse case, since there is no finite size indication of divergence in the susceptibility $\chi = N(\langle |m|^2 \rangle - |\langle m \rangle|^2)$, and since the energy histogram presents two separated peaks, with a coexistence region, as can be seen in the inset of Fig. 20. The magnetization histograms are qualitatively identical to those of the Complex Spherical Model in CTs, in Fig. 10.

The whole picture on the type of low-temperature behavior and the symmetry conservation for all of our models happens to be rich and unexpected, and it is outlined in Table I. A remarkable fact of the results of Fig. 20 is that the energy of the dense case coincides with the mean field energy in the whole range $T < \min\{T_c(N), T_c^{\text{mf}}\}$, although the finite-size transition temperature can be larger than the mean field solution. Such an agreement between the CT and the HT observables (and, incidentally, between both and the mean field theory) was absent in the Complex Spherical Model (see the precedent section), indicating that the differences between CT and HT is attributable to the moduli dynamics. What is more, we observe that also in the sparse case there is an agreement between mean field theory, dense CT and dense HT for sufficiently low temperature.

VII. ANALOGY WITH THE ABELIAN LATTICE GAUGE THEORY

In the introduction we have mentioned the fact that the three-dimensional Abelian lattice gauge theory presents a second-order phase transition, mappable to the 2D Kosterlitz-Thouless transition. The lowtemperature phase is unmagnetized, a property which follows from the model gauge invariance via Elitzur's theorem, which states that non-invariant observables under a gauge transformation present vanishing expected value in a gauge-variant system. We believe this mechanism to be the origin of the vanishing of the magnetization also in our 4-XY model in a homogeneous sparse topology, mentioned in the previous section. According to this argument, the stochastic set of homogeneous quadruplets acquires a kind of gauge invariance under some type of transformations. For example, it is possible that in a sparse list of random quadruplets there is a proliferation of sets of four spins which, although not forming a quadruplet, occupy the bonds of four neighboring quadruplets (as the sets of four spins on which the lattice gauge transformations act). These arguments justify the fact that in presence of topological correlations, the 1-point (magnetization) and the 2-point (phase and intensity correlators) operators vanish, since they are not invariant under gauge symmetry transformation, involving four spins. On the other hand, four-point correlators, as the different terms in the Hamiltonian, are nonzero in general.

In any case, we stress that such a symmetry does not completely forbid the presence of magnetized configurations: in Fig. 19 one observes two maxima of the distribution $h(m_x)$ at nonzero values of m_x . These magnetizations, however, are much less probable than the most probable value at $m_x = 0$. An analogous mechanism could be behind the vanishing of the average magnetization found in both XY and Spherical models in the presence of topological correlations. In this case, the transformations leaving the total energy invariant (up to fluctuations) would depend on the frequencies, and would be no longer local but global transformations connecting Phase Wave configurations with different allowed slopes.

VIII. CONNECTION WITH OPTICS AND POSSIBLE EXPERIMENTAL REALIZATIONS

Interpreted from the point of view of optics, the results of our analysis lead to several straightforward consequences in the field of multimode laser formation. Perhaps the most immediate result, not captured by approaches that neglect the role of the frequencies, is the existence of a correlated phase without global O(2)order, whose microscopic origin is the Phase Wave. We now explain how this novel phase can have experimentally accessible consequences in the form of a phase delay of the ultra-short electromagnetic pulses resulting from the nontrivial mode-locking in the presence of FMC.⁴⁴ Such a temporal delay should be experimentally accessible, as similar carrier phase delays are measured even in ultra-short lasers.⁴⁶

A. Phase Delay and Phase Wave

Let τ be the time measured in units of the time interval between two pulses, which in the statistical physical framework can be associated to a microscopic unit of time evolution, for example a Monte Carlo step. Let $a_n(\tau) = A_n(\tau)e^{i\phi_n(\tau)}$ be the *n*-th electromagnetic mode at the Monte Carlo time τ . Consider also the microscopic time unit $t \ll \tau$ describing the evolution of the electromagnetic pulse, whose form is:

$$E(t|\tau) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} A_n(\tau) e^{i[2\pi\omega_n t + \phi_n(\tau)]}.$$
 (25)

In the low-temperature phase (i.e., the mode-locking phase at high pumping rate) of a system with HT, all electromagnetic modes exhibit a common phase $\phi_n = \phi$ up to thermal fluctuations, and there is no phase delay in the resulting E. On the other hand, the non-trivial ML induced by the CT is such that the phase velocity $dE/dt|_{t_0}$ changes from pulse to pulse, where t_0 is a reference time with respect to the position of the maximum envelope at a given τ . The time delay of the field with respect to the envelope is a nontrivial function of the Phase Wave slope Δ and of the central frequency ω_0 (see Eq. (9)). We show in Fig. 21 the form of the pulses at different thermalized configurations characterized by different τ 's, and their corresponding phase waves, from which the fields E have been calculated through Eqs. (9,25).

In summary, the relaxation of the narrow band approximation requires the introduction of the role of mode frequencies, through the FMC, Eq. (3). We have seen in Secs. V, VI how this, in turn, induces the phase wave mechanism. We propose that, whenever the role of the frequencies of a multimode laser is not negligible, and if the present model effectively describes the pulse formation (as it is the case of the passive modelocking laser in a closed cavity, which satisfies these two



FIG. 21. Electromagnetic pulse in time, Eq. (25), with $\omega_0 = 374$, $\delta = 1$ (cf. eq (9)), in correspondence of the two Phase Wave configurations in figure 11, with slopes Δ_1 and Δ_2 . The carrier-envelope delay indicated as horizontal arrows is a function of Δ and ω_0 .

conditions), it should be observable a carrier-envelope delay of stochastic nature, of a magnitude changing, in general, from pulse to pulse (as in Fig. 21). Such single pulses dynamics and also its relationship to experimental measurements of the average signal over several (thousands) pulses, is currently under investigation.

B. Non-equipartite condensation

The non-equipartite condensation phenomena may manifest in experimental circumstances, more complicated than the multimode cavity resonant, such that the dilution of interaction between modes can be tuned through some mechanism. In a random laser, this is determined by the spatial separation between electromagnetic modes, since the coupling between four of them is proportional to their spatial overlap.¹⁸ In a situation in which the leading interaction is given by the disordered version of Eq. (5), one expects to observe, by varying the spatial concentration of modes, an *abrupt transition* from a regime with single isolated peak spectra, with a few number of very intense modes, to a continuous spectra in which the optical intensity is roughly equidistributed among different modes.

In this spirit, we propose an interpretation of the results of the experiment performed in Ref. 47, the first experimental observation of the onset of mode-locking order in random lasers. In this experiment, a sample of nanoparticles is immersed in a gain medium, and the pumping protocol is such that the spatial region of the sample to be pumped can be continuously enlarged, though maintaining the overall optical power constant. In this way, when a large fraction of the sample is illuminated, the onset of a continuous collective spectra is observed, corresponding to a large amount of overlapping modes. When, instead, only part of the sample is pumped, the activated modes are low-overlapping in space, their interaction is sparse, and the intensity behavior is as that of the non-equipartited phase described in Sec. III.

C. Gain and Intensity Spectrum

One of the most easily accessible experimental quantities in laser setups is the intensity spectrum of the signal, $I(\omega)$. In our framework the spectra can be directly evaluated so to allow for a straightforward comparison.

For the study of the spectra, it is interesting to consider the introduction of a non-flat *gain curve*,¹² which generalizes the Hamiltonian Eq. (5) in the following way:

$$\mathcal{H} = -\sum_{s} G_{s} A_{s}^{2} - \frac{N}{8N_{4}} \sum_{spqr} \mathcal{A}_{spqr} A_{s} A_{p} A_{q} A_{r}$$
$$\cos(\phi_{s} - \phi_{p} + \phi_{q} - \phi_{r}). \quad (26)$$

We consider Gaussian gain curves $G_s \equiv G(\omega_s)$, G being a Gaussian distribution with the maximum at the center ω_0 of the spectrum, and variance σ_g . In experiments, the temperature is typically constant, while the optical energy ϵ is ranged. To correctly compare with our simulations, where T varies at constant ϵ , we measure the intensity spectrum as $I(\omega_j) = \langle |a_j|^2 \rangle / \sqrt{T}$. In this case, to be consistent with the photonic counterpart, one also has to consider a temperature rescaled gain curve: $G(\omega, T) = TG_0(\omega)$, with a reference gain curve $G_0(\omega)$.

We now summarize the results of our numerical analysis of the Hamiltonian Eq. (26). As a first observation we point out that the system behavior is robust against the inclusion of the local gain term: the critical properties and the general features of thermodynamic phases described in the previous sections remain unchanged.

In the IW regime the intensity spectrum is rather influenced by the shape of the gain curve, see Figs 22 and 23. In general, the transition causes an abrupt change in the intensity spectrum. Above the lasing threshold, in the ML regime, the intensity spectrum is mainly determined by the topology of the interactions and it is stable against the introduction of a non-flat gain curve. For HT, the intensity spectrum is flat for high enough pumping, see Fig 22. This reflects the fact that in HT the frequencies do not play any role, besides the gain curve, and this role becomes no longer dominant in the ML phase. In particular, comparing to the case of an approximately flat gain curve, the spectrum does not change above the transition threshold (cf. left panel of Fig 22).

The intensity spectrum has full sense in the CT, where, instead, the frequencies play a relevant role in determining the topology. In this case, the transition is generally more abrupt in the intensity spectrum. Above the threshold the spectrum is peaked around the central



FIG. 22. Intensity spectra for HT system with N = 150and $N_4 = N^2$ at three different temperatures. For this system the transition is at $T_c(150) = 0.369(3)$. The gain curve is Gaussian with mean in the center of the considered frequencies. Left: Gain profile with larger variance, $\sigma_g = N$. Right: Gain profile with smaller variance, $\sigma_g = N/4$.



FIG. 23. Intensity spectra for CT system with N = 150and $N_4 = N^2$ at three different temperatures. For this system the transition is at $T_c(150) = 0.386(3)$. The gain curve is Gaussian with mean in the center of the considered frequencies. Left: Gain profile with larger variance, $\sigma_g = N$. Right: Gain profile with smaller variance, $\sigma_g = N/4$.

frequencies disregarding the shape of the gain (Fig. 23), as the modes at the central frequencies are effectively strongly coupled (cf. Fig 1). In other words, the ML spectrum shape observed in experiments results from our analysis to be a direct consequence of the frequencydependent mode interactions resulting from the FMC. In Fig. 24 this outcome is emphasized considering a gain curve with an average different from the central frequency of the amplified spectrum: both the frequency of maximum intensity and the whole shape of the spectrum abruptly change at the ML threshold.

The observed effect may furnish a theoretical mechanism to explain the so-called *gain narrowing* phenomena. 12,48,49



FIG. 24. Intensity spectra for the same system of Fig. 23 but with a a gain curve $\sigma_g = N/4$, centered in $\omega_j = N/6$.

D. Other possible experimental consequences

In this section we propose two further aspects of our analysis that may have a direct experimental consequence. The first one is the possibility of experimentally measure the vanishing of two-point (phase and intensity) correlators. If the correlation measurements are averaged over times much larger than a light roundtrip in the cavity, the vanishing of two point correlators should be observable. The vanishing of two-point correlators may, then, sign the dominance of the nonlinear interaction mediated by the FMC, which leads to null two-point functions, as emerges from our analysis in Sec. V. Even in random lasers, intensity-intensity correlations can be measured (see, for example, Refs. 50–53). On the other hand, phase-phase correlations are measured in conventional lasers with standard techniques⁵⁴ and, in principle, the Phase Wave could be observed through phase correlation oscillations (as in Fig. 13) if a sufficiently high time resolution is achievable.

Secondly, according to the analysis presented in Sec. V, the metastable phase in the ML regime is expected to decrease with the size of the system. This is observed even in the HT, where the role of frequencies is irrelevant. In the optical counterpart this would imply that the region of Optical Bistability⁵⁵ should decrease as the number of modes in the multimode mode locking setup increases. The other way round, the dependence/independence of this phenomena on the number of nodes could be used to infer whether the Optical Bistability is a consequence of the (finite-size) metastability observed in our simulations, or whether its origin is different.

IX. CONCLUSIONS AND PERSPECTIVES

To the best of our knowledge, the present analysis is the first study of vector statistical models with fourbody interactions beyond the mean field approximation. From our numerical study it emerges that these systems present a very rich phenomenology, among which we highlight: the absence of global symmetry breaking in the presence of quadruplet correlations; the absence of symmetry breaking and the smoothness of the transition in the XY model for $N_4 \sim \mathcal{O}(N)$ homogeneous interacting terms; the non-equipartite condensation of the Complex Spherical model on sparse $(N_4 \sim \mathcal{O}(N^{<2}))$ graphs; the slowing down of the dynamics in the lowtemperature phase. Other rather unconventional results regarding these models are exposed in Secs. V and VI.

From a methodological point of view, we have provided a novel parallel algorithm to Monte Carlo sample systems with p = 4-body interactions in an efficient way (i.e., in a time $\mathcal{O}(N_4)$ instead of $\mathcal{O}(N N_4)$) in the unfavorable situation in which the interaction network is non-sparse.

Moreover, we have stressed that these results, presented in a statistical physical framework, have experimental consequences in the field of photonics as these models describe also the interaction between electromagnetic modes is passive mode locking lasers. In principle, they cover a broad range of experimental circumstances in which the modes are subject to a nonlinear quartic interaction and to a stochastic drift. In the case of laser formation the drift is induced by the spontaneous emission, considered as an effective thermal bath, and the different light regimes are associated to different resulting thermodynamic phases of the statistical model. This is well established in the case of the mode locking transition of a closed cavity laser, which is solvable by mean field theory in the so-called narrowband approximation.^{5,44} The present work goes beyond mean field and allows to take into account frequency correlations. Our results not only account for general features of discontinuous transitions observed in mode locking experiments, but also predicts a variety of phenomenology as the vanishing of two-mode correlations, the carrier phase delay of electromagnetic pulses or the non-equipartite condensation, which presumably lies at the origin of the experimental observations of Ref. 47, and in this paper we determine the conditions under which these phenomena arise. The model under analysis invites to establish further links between the present results and other quantities measured in laser experiments, as there are further quantities provided by the Monte Carlo analysis that are experimentally accessible (intensity and phase correlations and intensity spectra, hysteresis of the energy curve).

This setup allows for an analysis with additional novel ingredients as quenched interaction disorder,¹⁸ and any type of interaction topology. This freedom is sufficient to enlarge the spectrum of experimental situations that may be effectively described in the statistical approach. It is a challenging problem that of going beyond the passive mode locking transition in establishing the link between optics and statistical physics. In other words, in which circumstances a Hamiltonian formulation is possible and what are the properties of the couplings appearing in Eq. (26) describing a given experimental condition. Such a query is indeed a big theoretical challenge which has motivated an intense research in the last years, see Ref. [21] for a review of the state of the art. In random laser phenomena there is no closed cavity and this fact poses several theoretical difficulties in the treatment, as the very definition of lasing mode;^{56,57} the presence of dissipative, outer-radiative modes, and their effective influence in the set of lasering modes;⁵⁸ the possible existence of an imaginary part in the coupling interaction; the existence of correlations in the coupling disorder and, possibly, in the noise.^{21,59}

Besides the direct photonic interpretation, the Hamiltonian Eq. (5) is quite general, and the form of topological correlations (introduced as the FMC constraint, Eq. (8)) is a very natural way of selecting the degrees of freedom which effectively interact. For this reason, we believe that the physical consequences of the present study are not limited to optics, but are possibly relevant in more general situations described by a scalar field subject to a nonlinear interaction.

X. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Claudio Conti, Andrea Crisanti, Baruch Fischer, Neda Ghofraniha, Marco Leonetti and Giorgio Parisi for motivating discussions. The research leading to these results has received funding from the Italian Ministry of Education, University and Research under the Basic Research Investigation Fund (FIRB/2008) program/CINECA grant code RBFR08M3P4 and under the PRIN2010 program, grant code 2010HXAW77-008 and from the People Programme (Marie Curie Actions) of the European Union's Seventh Framework Programme FP7/2007-2013/ under REA grant agreement n. 290038, NETADIS project.

Appendix A: The mean field solution of the ferromagnetic model

Consider the fully connected ferromagnetic model

$$\mathcal{H} = -\frac{1}{N^3} \sum_{jklm}^{1,N} a_j a_k a_l^* a_m^* ,$$

with $\sum_j |a_j|^2 = \epsilon N .$ (A1)

Defining $a_j = \sigma_j + i\tau_j$ the partition function is

$$\mathcal{Z} = \int_{S} \exp\left(\frac{\beta}{N^{3}} \sum_{jklm} \left(\sigma_{jklm} + \tau_{jklm} + \varphi_{jklm}\right)\right) d\boldsymbol{\sigma} d\boldsymbol{\tau} ,$$

where the subscript S means that the integral is evaluated over the hyper-sphere Eq. (A1) and

$$\begin{split} \varphi_{1234} &= \frac{1}{3} \left(\psi_{12,34} + \psi_{13,24} + \psi_{14,23} \right) \,, \\ \psi_{12,34} &= \sigma_{12} \tau_{34} + \sigma_{34} \tau_{12} \,, \end{split}$$

and we are using the shortening

$$\sigma_{12\ldots k}=\sigma_1\sigma_2\cdots\sigma_k\,.$$

Introducing the magnetizations

$$m_{\sigma} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j} \sigma_{j}, \qquad m_{\tau} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j} \tau_{j},$$

the partition function is written as

$$\mathcal{Z} = \int \mathcal{D}\mathbf{m} \ e^{-N\beta F(\mathbf{m})}$$

with

$$\beta F(\mathbf{m}) = -\beta \left(m_{\sigma}^2 + m_{\tau}^2\right)^2 - \log \left[\pi \left(\epsilon - m_{\sigma}^2 - m_{\tau}^2\right)\right] - 1$$

Solving the integral over the magnetizations with the saddle point method leads us to consider

$$\beta \frac{dF}{dm_{\sigma,\tau}} = 2m_{\sigma,\tau} \left[-2\beta \left(m_{\sigma}^2 + m_{\tau}^2 \right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon - m_{\sigma}^2 - m_{\tau}^2} \right] = 0$$

The paramagnetic (PM) case with $m_{\sigma} = m_{\tau} = 0$ is always a solution. For

$$\epsilon^2 \beta > 1 \quad \to \quad T < \epsilon^2 \tag{A2}$$

also a ferromagnetic (FM) solution appears with

$$m_{\sigma}^2 + m_{\tau}^2 = \frac{\epsilon}{2} \left(1 + \sqrt{1 - \frac{1}{\epsilon^2 \beta}} \right) \,.$$

The average energy is

$$\langle \mathcal{H} \rangle = -\frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} \log \mathcal{Z} = -\epsilon^2 \left(m_{\sigma}^2 + m_{\tau}^2 \right)^2 + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{N}\right) \,,$$

and it is zero for the PM solution and

$$\frac{\langle \mathcal{H} \rangle}{N} = -\frac{\epsilon^4}{4} \left(1 + \sqrt{1 - \frac{1}{\epsilon^2 \beta}} \right)^2 \,, \tag{A3}$$

for the FM solution.

The hessian of the functional F yield the stability properties of the previous solutions. The paramagnetic solution $m_{\sigma} = m_{\tau} = 0$ is associated with two degenerate positive eigenvalues, so the PM solution is always stable. The FM solution has a *null eigenvalue* and a positive eigenvalues, then, in the region where the FM solution exists, it is always marginally stable.

Then, in the region of the phase diagram given by Eq. (A2) the stable PM and the marginal FM solutions coexist. The equilibrium transition is at the point

$$\epsilon^2 \beta_c = 2.455408 \dots$$

where the free energy of the two solutions are equal. At lower temperature $F_{\rm fm} < F_{\rm pm}$ and the PM solution becomes metastable.

Appendix B: Energy scaling in the disordered Spherical Model

We suppose a Gaussian distribution of couplings P(J), with average J_0 and variance σ . In this case, we can write an *n*-replicated partition function:

$$\overline{\mathcal{Z}^{n}} = \int \prod_{j=1}^{N} da_{j} da_{j}^{*} \int \prod_{[jklm]} J_{[jklm]}^{(4)} P(J_{[jklm]})$$

$$\times \exp\left\{-\beta \sum_{b=1}^{n} \mathcal{H}_{J}[\{a^{(b)}\}]\right\} =$$

$$= \sqrt{2\pi\sigma^{2}} \int \prod_{j=1}^{N} da_{j} da_{j}^{*}$$

$$\times \exp\left\{\sum_{[jklm]}^{1,N} \left[J_{0}\beta \sum_{b=1}^{n} a_{j}^{b} a_{k}^{b*} a_{l}^{b} a_{m}^{b,*}\right]^{2} + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^{2}\beta^{2} \left(\sum_{b} a_{j}^{b} a_{k}^{b,*} a_{l}^{b} a_{m}^{b,*}\right)^{2}\right],$$

where [jklm] points out at distinct interacting quadruplets. Unlike the fully connected case, in a diluted case the "spatial" index of the modes is not removed. However, just for scaling purposes, one can try and use a mean-field approximation for the diluted case, as well,

- ¹ A. Fratalocchi, C. Conti, G. Ruocco, and S. Trillo, Phys. Rev. Lett. **101**, 044101 (Jul 2008)
- ² G. A. El and A. M. Kamchatnov, Phys. Rev. Lett. **95**, 204101 (Nov 2005)
- ³ K. O. Rasmussen, T. Cretegny, P. G. Kevrekidis, and N. Grønbech-Jensen, Phys. Rev. Lett. 84, 3740 (Apr 2000)
- ⁴ J. A. Krumhansl and J. R. Schrieffer, Phys. Rev. B 11, 3535 (May 1975)
- ⁵ A. Gordon and B. Fischer, Phys. Rev. Lett. **89**, 103901 (Aug 2002)
- ⁶ A. Gordon and B. Fischer, Optics Communications 223, 151 (2003), ISSN 0030-4018
- ⁷ B. Vodonos, R. Weill, A. Gordon, A. Bekker, V. Smulakovsky, O. Gat, and B. Fischer, Phys. Rev. Lett. **93**, 153901 (Oct 2004)
- ⁸ A. Gordon and B. Fischer, Opt. Lett. **29**, 1022 (May 2004)
- ⁹ A. Gordon and B. Fischer, Optics Communications **223**, 151 (2003), ISSN 0030-4018
- ¹⁰ M. Katz, A. Gordon, O. Gat, and B. Fischer, Phys. Rev. Lett. **97**, 113902 (Sep 2006)
- ¹¹ A. Picozzi, J. Garnier, T. Hansson, P. Suret, S. Randoux, G. Millot, and D. Christodoulides, Physics Reports **542**, 1 (2014), ISSN 0370-1573, optical wave turbulence: Towards a unified nonequilibrium thermodynamic formulation of statistical nonlinear optics

assuming that $\sum_{N_4} \sim (N_4/N^4) \sum_{jklm}$, where the sum runs over all indices. In this way one can rewrite the exponent in terms of the overlap matrices and magnetizations as usual, so to obtain (cf. Ref. 19)

$$\frac{E}{N} = -\frac{1}{N} \frac{d}{d\beta} \overline{\log \mathcal{Z}} = -\frac{1}{N} \frac{d}{d\beta} \lim_{n \to 0} \frac{\overline{Z^n} - 1}{n} = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{b} g(Q_{b1}, R_{b1}) - k(m_{\sigma}, m_{\tau}),$$

where $(a_1 \equiv \sigma_1 + i\tau_1)$

$$\begin{split} Q_{ab} &= \sum_{1} \frac{\sigma_{1}^{a} \sigma_{1}^{b} + \tau_{1}^{a} \tau_{1}^{b}}{2N} \,, \\ R_{ab} &= \sum_{1} \frac{\sigma_{1}^{a} \sigma_{1}^{b} - \tau_{1}^{a} \tau_{1}^{b}}{2N} \,, m_{\sigma} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{1} \sigma_{1} \\ g(Q_{ab}, R_{ab}) &= \beta (Q_{ab}^{2} + R_{ab}^{2}) \left[\frac{1}{9} \sigma_{4}^{2} (Q_{ab}^{2} + R_{ab}^{2}) \frac{N_{4}}{N} \right] \,, \\ k(m_{\sigma}^{a}, m_{\tau}^{a}) &= \frac{1}{2} \left[(m_{\sigma}^{a})^{2} + (m_{\tau}^{a})^{2} \right] \\ &\left\{ \frac{1}{12} J_{0}^{(4)} \left[(m_{\sigma}^{a})^{2} + (m_{\tau}^{a})^{2} \right] \frac{N_{4}}{N} \right\} \,. \end{split}$$

In the case of equipartition, one has $\mathcal{O}(N)$ spins of amplitude $\mathcal{O}(1)$, so all the overlap matrices and magnetizations are $\mathcal{O}(1)$. Then the extensive energy in both cases results as in Eq. (12).

- ¹² H. Haus, Selected Topics in Quantum Electronics, IEEE Journal of 6, 1173 (Nov 2000), ISSN 1077-260X
- ¹³ C. Van den Broeck, J. M. R. Parrondo, and R. Toral, Phys. Rev. Lett. **73**, 3395 (Dec 1994)
- ¹⁴ R. W. Boyd, *Nonlinear Optics, Third Edition*, 3rd ed. (Academic Press, 2008) ISBN 0123694701, 9780123694706
- ¹⁵ R. Weill, A. Rosen, A. Gordon, O. Gat, and B. Fischer, Phys. Rev. Lett. **95**, 013903 (Jun 2005)
- ¹⁶ L. Angelani, C. Conti, G. Ruocco, and F. Zamponi, Phys. Rev. Lett. **96**, 065702 (Feb 2006)
- ¹⁷ L. Leuzzi, C. Conti, V. Folli, L. Angelani, and G. Ruocco, Phys. Rev. Lett. **102**, 083901 (Feb 2009)
- ¹⁸ C. Conti and L. Leuzzi, Phys. Rev. B 83, 134204 (Apr 2011)
- ¹⁹ F. Antenucci, C. Conti, A. Crisanti, and L. Leuzzi, arXiv preprint arXiv:1406.7826(2014)
- ²⁰ D. S. Wiersma, Nature physics **4**, 359 (2008)
- ²¹ F. Antenucci, Ph.D. thesis, Università di Roma, "La Sapienza" (2014), https://www.phys.uniroma1.it/ fisica/sites/default/files/DOTT_FISICA/MENU/ 03DOTTORANDI/TesiFin27/Antenucci.pdf
- ²² E. Fermi, J. Pasta, and S. Ulam, Lect. Appl. Math. 15, 143 (1974)
- ²³ C.-J. Chen, P. K. A. Wai, and C. R. Menyuk, Opt. Lett. 19, 198 (Feb 1994)
- ²⁴ J. B. Kogut, Rev. Mod. Phys. **51**, 659 (Oct 1979)

- ²⁵ In particular, if the quadruplets are formed by the four spins living in the edges of single plaquettes of an auxiliary hyper-cubic lattice, the local gauge transformation consists in flipping all spins corresponding to the edges incoming a given node of the auxiliary lattice.
- ²⁶ J. E. Moore and D.-H. Lee, Phys. Rev. B **69**, 104511 (Mar 2004)
- ²⁷ C. Xu and J. E. Moore, Phys. Rev. Lett. **93**, 047003 (Jul 2004)
- ²⁸ O. G. Mouritsen, B. Frank, and D. Mukamel, Phys. Rev. B **27**, 3018 (Mar 1983)
- ²⁹ J.P. Bouchaud and M. Mézard, J. Phys. I France 4, 1109 (1994)
- ³⁰ A. Lipowski, Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and General **30**, 7365 (1997)
- ³¹ A. Lipowski and D. Johnston, Phys. Rev. E **61**, 6375 (Jun 2000)
- ³² A. Lipowski, D. Johnston, and D. Espriu, Phys. Rev. E 62, 3404 (Sep 2000)
- ³³ A. Lipowski and D. Johnston, Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and General **33**, 4451 (2000)
- ³⁴ Y. Nishiyama, Phys. Rev. E **70**, 026120 (Aug 2004)
- ³⁵ R. L. Jack, J. P. Garrahan, and D. Sherrington, Phys. Rev. E **71**, 036112 (Mar 2005)
- ³⁶ R. Ambartzumian, G. Savvidy, K. Savvidy, and G. Sukiasian, Physics Letters B **275**, 99 (1992), ISSN 0370-2693
- ³⁷ G. Savvidy and K. Savvidy, Physics Letters B **337**, 333 (1994), ISSN 0370-2693
- ³⁸ D. Espriu, M. Baig, D. A. Johnston, and R. P. K. C. Malmini, Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and General **30**, 405 (1997)
- ³⁹ M. R. Swift, H. Bokil, R. D. M. Travasso, and A. J. Bray, Phys. Rev. B **62**, 11494 (Nov 2000)
- ⁴¹ C. Castelnovo, C. Chamon, and D. Sherrington, Phys. Rev. B **81**, 184303 (May 2010)

- ⁴² M. I. Berganza and L. Leuzzi, Phys. Rev. B 88, 144104 (Oct 2013)
- ⁴³ F. D. Nobre and A. A. Júnior, Physics Letters A 288, 271 (2001), ISSN 0375-9601
- ⁴⁴ F. Antenucci, M. I. Berganza, and L. Leuzzi, arXiv preprint arXiv:1409.6345(2014)
- ⁴⁵ M. I. Berganza, P. Coletti, and A. Petri, EPL (Europhysics Letters) **106**, 56001 (2014)
- ⁴⁶ T. Brabec and F. Krausz, Rev. Mod. Phys. **72**, 545 (Apr 2000)
- ⁴⁷ M. Leonetti, C. Conti, and C. Lopez, Nature Photonics 5, 615 (2011)
- ⁴⁸ M. Horowitz, R. Daisy, B. Fischer, and J. Zyskind, Electronics Letters **30**, 648 (Apr 1994), ISSN 0013-5194
- ⁴⁹ M. Horowitz, R. Daisy, B. Fischer, and J. L. Zyskind, Opt. Lett. **19**, 1406 (Sep 1994)
- ⁵⁰ N. Ghofraniha, I. Viola, F. Di Maria, G. Barbarella, G. Gigli, L. Leuzzi, and C. Conti, arXiv preprint arXiv:1407.5428(2014)
- ⁵¹ M. Leonetti, C. Conti, and C. López, Phys. Rev. A 88, 043834 (Oct 2013)
- ⁵² X. Wu and H. Cao, Opt. Lett. **32**, 3089 (Nov 2007)
- ⁵³ S. Mujumdar, V. Türck, R. Torre, and D. S. Wiersma, Phys. Rev. A **76**, 033807 (Sep 2007)
- ⁵⁴ R. Trebino, Frequency-Resolved Optical Gating: The Measurement of Ultrashort Laser Pulses: The Measurement of Ultrashort Laser Pulses, Vol. 1 (Springer, 2000)
- ⁵⁵ H. Gibbs, Optical bistability: controlling light with light (Elsevier, 1985)
- ⁵⁶ S. M. Dutra and G. Nienhuis, Phys. Rev. A **62**, 063805 (Nov 2000)
- ⁵⁷ H. E. Türeci, A. D. Stone, and B. Collier, Phys. Rev. A 74, 043822 (Oct 2006)
- ⁵⁸ C. Viviescas and G. Hackenbroich, Phys. Rev. A 67, 013805 (Jan 2003)
- ⁵⁹ G. Hackenbroich, C. Viviescas, and F. Haake, Phys. Rev. A 68, 063805 (2003)